



## Identifying Key Challenges in Academic Institutions: Obstacles Impacting Performance and the Role of Universities in Solutions

Mustafa Al-Alwani<sup>1,\*</sup>

<sup>1</sup> Department of Architecture, University of Technology, Iraq.

\* Corresponding author: Email: [alwanim4@gmail.com](mailto:alwanim4@gmail.com)

*Received: 11/04/2025*

*Accepted: 23/06/2025*

---

### Abstract

This study investigates the critical challenges and obstacles affecting academic institutions and explores the role of universities in addressing these issues. By analyzing internal and external factors, such as resource allocation, technological integration, diversity, and sustainability, the paper identifies systemic problems that hinder academic efficiency and innovation. The research also emphasizes the transformative potential of strategic management, inclusive practices, and interdisciplinary approaches in overcoming these barriers. Furthermore, it highlights the importance of ethical governance, community engagement, and adaptive leadership in fostering institutional resilience. This comprehensive review aims to provide actionable insights and recommendations for enhancing the effectiveness and relevance of academic institutions in a rapidly evolving global landscape.

**Keywords:** Academic institutions, Challenges and obstacles, Higher education, Resource allocation and inclusion.

## 1. Introduction

Academic work is that which relates directly to the development of knowledge – whether in its production, utilization, or dissemination. Academic institutions are, therefore, those that are most linked with activities in the field of production of goods, services, and information necessary for the incorporation of scientific and technological innovations. However, it is necessary to constantly ask what other productive activities may also be carried out by them. In this context, several obstacles and problems are identified that may also affect academic services. The main purpose of this paper is to identify the most important obstacles and problems that affect the work of academic institutions and discuss their interrelationship. We present these issues and problems, along with some discussion on each one of them [1, 2].

Given the constant questioning concerning the performance of academic institutions, it is important that their administrators, researchers, and professors have a good perception of the problems that may affect their work, as a way to minimize their impacts and to seek efficiency in their use of human, physical, and financial resources. In this paper, we will focus specifically on the main difficulties that these institutions may face in performing their multiple functions, which are linked directly or indirectly to generating, transmitting, and broadening the scope of scientific, technological, and humanistic knowledge, and that relate them to the activities of teaching, research, and university extension [3, 4].

## 2. Literature review

The literature review on the challenges and obstacles affecting academic institutions reveals a complex landscape shaped by various external and internal pressures. Nolte [5] highlights the transformative changes in higher education systems, particularly in South Africa, emphasizing the need for effective managerial strategies to adapt to societal expectations and political shifts. The article underscores the importance of accountability and responsiveness in higher education, suggesting that institutions must innovate in management and funding approaches to avoid marginalization.

Building on this foundation, Quinsee [6] explores the identity crisis facing universities in the UK, advocating for a shift towards more student-centered learning. Quinsee's analysis of staff perspectives on pedagogical changes illustrates the necessity for universities to embrace flexible teaching methods and technology integration. This aligns with Nolte [5]'s assertion regarding the need for adaptive strategies in higher education management.

In a similar vein, Olu and Oluwafemi [7] address the urgent need for universities to respond to the increasing number of secondary school graduates. They argue that strategic planning, often borrowed from the private sector, is essential for contemporary university leadership. The authors stress that without a commitment to quality education, institutions risk diminishing their relevance in an evolving educational landscape, echoing the concerns raised by Nolte [5] regarding institutional transformation. Corbo *et al.*, [8] further this dialogue by presenting a framework aimed at fostering educational innovation through departmental culture change. Their emphasis on collaborative efforts among faculty and administration reflects a proactive approach to enhancing educational practices, which is critical for addressing the systemic challenges identified by previous authors.

Cunningham [9] shifts the focus to student retention, highlighting the impact of economic pressures and changing demographics on universities. The article critiques the neoliberal influences that prioritize metrics over educational quality, resonating with Olu and Oluwafemi [7]'s concerns about the adequacy of current educational practices. Cunningham's insights into the necessity of comprehensive support systems for students underscore the multifaceted challenges institutions face in maintaining engagement and success rates.

Lawrie [10] contribute to this discourse by advocating for inclusive practices within higher education. Their call for systemic cultural shifts to support diverse student populations emphasizes that inclusion is a shared responsibility among all institutional actors. This perspective aligns with Quinsee [6]'s emphasis on adapting pedagogical approaches to meet the needs of a varied student body.

Evans [11] addresses the external perceptions of higher education, particularly regarding political attitudes towards universities. The article raises critical questions about the preparedness of graduates for the workforce and the need for institutions to adapt to changing expectations. This concern for relevance and accountability parallels the challenges highlighted by Cunningham [9] and emphasizes the importance of strategic leadership in navigating public perceptions.

Long *et al.*, [12] examine the disruptive changes within the accounting education sector, identifying significant challenges like increased workloads and declining salaries. Their findings reflect broader trends of massification and internationalization in higher education, which have profound implications for institutional management and educational quality, reinforcing the themes presented in earlier articles.

In light of the COVID-19 pandemic, Bartusevičienė *et al.*, [13] discuss the need for academic continuity and resilience in higher education. Their exploration of institutional responses to crises highlights the importance of faculty training and support, which is crucial for maintaining educational standards during turbulent times.

Finally, Toyin *et al.*, [14] focus on the role of private higher education institutions in economic development, illustrating the financial challenges they face amidst rising operational costs and declining government support. Their call for innovative strategies to enhance institutional sustainability resonates with the overarching theme of the literature: the necessity for universities to adapt and evolve in response to an increasingly complex environment.

Together, these articles paint a comprehensive picture of the myriad challenges facing academic institutions, underscoring the critical need for strategic management, inclusive practices, and innovative approaches to ensure their continued relevance and effectiveness in addressing societal issues.

### **3. The Changing Landscape of Higher Education**

Today, higher education appears to be on the brink of radical transformation. Unprecedented numbers of people across the world seek to further their socio-economic potential and well-being through enrolling in higher education. Universities are competing with one another and with other traditional and non-traditional providers to meet new student demand. Innovations in information and communications technology are extending access to education, enabling greater flexibility in how, when, and what learners study, and dramatically altering costs. Employers and national economies likewise have an insatiable demand for higher-level skills, creativity, problem-solving, cooperation, and flexibility, which institutions wish to or need to respond to Toyin *et al.*, [14]. These changes are putting pressure on traditional institutions of higher education to rethink their central expectations and the students whom they serve. Many are exploring, and some have already taken up, more problem-based, experiential, interdisciplinary, and team-teaching methods of instruction. They have put energy into support structures for learning. Institutions and their staffs are grappling with how to serve an increasingly diverse student body and one that has different learning attitudes and styles. Students too are demanding greater democratic responsibility for decision-making in universities, as well as a bigger say in what they learn and how they are assessed. Another important trend is the expansion of adult student populations, including those who may wish to pursue education in a modular fashion, and those universities that serve these students with evening, weekend, and online programs are supplementing the resources coming in from more traditional learners. A similar expansion of continuing education programs has also been undertaken. Moreover, the contributions of industry to curricular innovation and revitalized links between advanced technology centers have been undertaken by almost all large universities [15].

#### **3.1 Globalization and Internationalization of Higher Education**

In today's world, globalization is integral to all aspects of our existence, and education is no exception. Education is a public good, a fundamental human right and essential for the exercise of all other rights. It is also a foundation for development and social justice, contributing fully to economic growth. As

such, it must be free and compulsory, lifelong and undertaken in a continual learning process. Furthermore, a substantial body of evidence confirms higher levels of educational attainment and skills are linked with a wide range of positive personal, social, economic and professional outcomes. This collectively provides the rationale for the commitment to advancing educational goals. Hence, globalization of higher education is not only vital, it is an essential ingredient for the enrichment of our lives [16].

International programs, from student exchange and English-taught courses to collaborative research work, benefit higher education and students. Research by existing scholars in internationalization suggests that a significant function is to increase contacts and partnerships across national borders. These policies are also seen to help improve educational quality, accessibility and policy development, leading to mutual understanding and closer relationships between people and institutions resulting from the increased exchange and diversity in nationalities. Furthermore, international partnerships and exchange programs have helped universities respond to a changing environment at the local level. According to research, internationalization is contributing to recruitment efforts and attracting students from countries that were previously very low in representation. Hosting international students has also been a boost to many academic programs [17].

### **3.2. Funding and Resource Allocation in Academic Institutions**

For academic institutions to survive, they need a stable source of funding for operations. Typically, universities are funded by the government; however, student tuition fees also play a role in funding institutions. Many colleges and universities also raise funds from private donors who wish to see them succeed. Colleges and universities offering degrees can also be funded by the federal government through student grants and other financial contributions. The number of college students in the U.S. has increased substantially over the past decade, although some schools may see increases in enrollment while others may see decreases. This means that resources need to be reallocated, which is difficult if funding is infrequently adjusted [18].

There are natural fluctuations in resources received from the government and tuition fees. When tax revenues go down, governments have to cut budgets, and funding goes down, which affects faculty and staff payroll, services, class offerings, and infrastructure improvements. In recent years, some college and university district funding has been cut, leading to increased class sizes, fewer course offerings, faculty reductions, staff hiring freezes, and construction and maintenance delays. Faculty and staff may get laid off, tuition often goes up, and admission standards may go up because colleges are receiving more applications. It is important that colleges utilize their resources and money strategically to maximize college and student outcomes as much as possible. Good resource and budget management can help colleges and individuals thrive during good and bad economic times. Colleges can use good resource allocation and budgeting to become sustainable even if their funding sources change. There are many different ways to raise funds, and some colleges attempt to form public-private partnerships to fund projects and improve student training opportunities. Colleges must be ethical and transparent in how they spend their revenue and utilize funding. Organizations that hide how they spend money or use it unethically lessen their quality, integrity, and the reason they exist in the first place [19].

### **3.3. Challenges in Research and Innovation**

Research and innovation within academic institutions face several challenges. Although some newly established research fields or centers have a comparative advantage because they start from a clean slate to attract funding for exploratory research, many established fields face constraints. Among the constraints is the increasing pressure to produce results instantly, the required immediate and direct applicability of the results, especially affected by the unusual current context of pronounced austerity in the state and the boost in humanitarian and development assistance, excessive administrative, financial, legal, and procedural constraints, increasingly excessive managerial takeovers limiting the time available for dedicated scientific work and cogitation, over-specialization, and lack of divergence

in research parallel with the disappearing culture of innovation and the subsequent decrease of spillover effects from one scientific field to another and out of the research world [20].

The university world has difficulty or is late in integrating emerging innovations that could bring considerable added value, such as fast dissemination of information and results of pilots or the continued attachment to peer-reviewed publications and the indifference vis-à-vis other valuable indicators. Issues that would indicate the field of university R&D to be the most problematic, such as the severe global competition in technological innovation or lack of a nationally adequate research agenda, starting from the needs of society. Even if European universities shift towards making applied research, generally speaking, the proportion of the gross domestic expenditure on R&D allocated to academic institutions has shrunk between 2013 and 2017, with downward national exceptions, such as the UK, with a decent extra allocated to this group of universities. The problems are even deeper when the situation of catch-up is considered, where the foremost problem is the insufficient capacity of the counterpart institutions to coordinate or with a highly limited ability to conduct scientific research [21, 22].

### **3.4. Technology and Digital Transformation in Education**

Technology, in general, and digital transformation, particularly, are changing the landscape of higher education. Digital tools are already improving the way students and faculty interact with learning and teaching, making content more interactive, enhancing engagement, and facilitating access to learning. Even though providing education is now easier because of the heterogeneous educational resources that people can access for free, the profession of being an educator or a lecturer is becoming more complex, not only because of the increasing competition and scrutiny but also due to the fact that the learning process is changing. Some are more open, some are more powered by digital tools; they need to be learned quicker than others. And, unlike before, learning is now happening in a smaller timeframe and at a smaller fraction of the cost [23, 24].

Once a subject of a few, technology is now used by many, and much of the technology has been used directly in education and in finding educational solutions. The involvement of technology in educational administration and the widespread adoption of eLearning are proof of this. Instead of removing the problem entirely, technology promises to change the way people interact with learning and improve certain systems and processes. Technology is not all about computing or programming. Both data analytics and artificial intelligence have a significant role to play in how data is analyzed, how patterns are discovered or anomalies are pinpointed, and how decisions are made. These aspects have wide and profound implications for education. Of course, not everyone is happy about it. Some will find a thousand and one reasons for not changing and for resisting technology-mediated change, stressing that their students are different or that technology will create larger equity gaps [25, 26].

Many of us have met those who persistently argue against the use of technology. Are they anti-tech or are they anti-change? Or do they have other reasons of which we may not be aware? Either way, it is difficult to argue against people who have decided to stand in non-agreement. Digital transformation forces many changes in academia. The list of challenges above only represents a small snapshot of the impact digital transformation will have on education. Continuous investment in technology is essential; reinventing academic processes, revisiting curricula, and re-skilling staff and faculty are some of the core responsibilities of our universities. A more comprehensive and targeted approach, where universities and government systematically develop regulations and create practices to help university systems digitalize themselves, is indeed essential. The bigger issue extends beyond technology adoption and reaches the source of value that an academic institution is tasked to produce [27, 28].

### **3.5. Diversity, Equity, and Inclusion in Academia**

Diversity, equity, and inclusion are some of the most important issues facing academia. The ideal academic institution should be an inclusive environment, valuing differences in perspectives and ideas,

and this should be reflected in, and indeed supported by, every institution's leadership and a positive institutional culture. Sadly, this is not the reality in many places. One of the key fronts for change and the development of a more inclusive and just institution is to ensure fair access to all levels of academia. Empirical research has shown us, consistently, that this is not the case: women and non-binary students and faculty, as well as Black, Indigenous, and people of color, disabled, low socio-economic status, and LGBTQ+ individuals are all massively underrepresented in academic cohorts and workforces when compared to their representation in the general population [27].

Indeed, a significant percentage of universities do not have a single Black academic working as a professor, and a survey of climate scientists found that of scholars who identified as climate scientists or geoscientists of color, nearly two-thirds said they had been “personally discriminated against” in their work. Indigenous, Black, and underrepresented minority scholars make up only about 4 percent of the STEM PhD population, a number that continues to stagnate. A report found that only 20% of first authorships in papers published in leading math journals were by women. This is not simply a problem with recruitment, however. Once students and staff are in university, there are challenges at every step – recruitment, retention, progression, and ensuring an environment where everyone can succeed or achieve their potential. Numerous initiatives exist which support the goal of diversity and inclusivity in academia. Studies have demonstrated that diverse teams are more innovative, quicker to research outputs, and generally produce outputs and access internal grant funding more effectively than non-diverse teams. In academia, this is crucial given that innovation often translates into research impact [29].

Furthermore, and importantly, failing to take into account the diverse experiences and realities of an increasingly diverse student cohort not only alienates underrepresented and invisible groups, but critically undermines the quality of education as a whole. However, much of the focus on these initiatives seems to be directed towards recruiting diverse students into academia, rather than on maintaining these diverse cohorts through to successful careers or devising initiatives that specifically support marginalized communities to stay successful and progress. There has also been a surge in interest in the "decolonization" of curricula. Eurocentric perspectives heavily dominate most academic notebooks. There are increasing efforts to address this by centralizing the experiences of marginalized communities across the world from the outset. These initiatives are all positive. Indeed, it is crucial to ensure that students are able to see themselves in the curricula and teaching staff. However, it is also important to ensure that we are educating future generations, made up of an increasingly diverse demographic, about the lived experiences and oppressions encountered by others [30].

### **3.6. Student Mental Health and Well-being**

Issues surrounding the mental health and overall well-being of students at both the undergraduate and graduate levels were brought up by more than a third of the respondents. Many of the problems universities are experiencing (academic struggles, mental health issues, and social isolation) are closely interwoven with concerns about well-being. Some of the survey respondents indicated that the funding and support services provided to students by universities are inadequate [31].

A few respondents said, "students should have access to free counseling and medical services." Some also mentioned the possibility of wellness breaks or a counseling requirement. The high incidence of mental health issues among college students is also exacerbated by the stigma associated with mental illness that is often present on campuses. Another respondent stated that the central problem is: our entire society needs to move away from the stigma attached to mental health problems and provide resources, both financially and in staff, to deal with these concerns. Efforts by colleges and universities to raise awareness, ease the transition to college, and provide support, such as mental health counseling, are especially aimed at promoting well-being. Universities were late last year, in recognition of the fact that students who suffer from mental health issues are more likely to struggle academically and are more likely to leave the university before completing their education than students who do not.

Supporting students who are struggling with mental health issues can increase student persistence toward graduation as well as overall student satisfaction with the university. A culture of positivity and resilience in our students creates a vibrant campus, a place where people thrive and engineering thrives. As this respondent said, "by improving the mental health of students we can increase the chances of success not only for students but for our communities and the global community." Many of our survey respondents, and others who have submitted essays, believe that the emphasis on well-being on campus should be comprehensive. Being well means you are mentally and physically happy, you know how to reach out for help, and understand how your thoughts contribute to your overall quality of life [32].

### **3.7. Faculty Recruitment and Retention**

Teaching and research responsibilities in institutions of higher education make significant time demands on their faculty members. Faculty members who are exhausted from juggling heavy teaching loads, committee service, and departmental leadership, while also trying to meet high research expectations, are at risk of leaving, particularly in a time that values change and mobility in careers. Over time, the academic workforce has changed, going from a large number of individuals entering retirement to one in which most professionals are both qualified and needed to perpetuate their employment in academia. An aging workforce also implies that the potential future pool of individuals who can provide the skill sets, including the track record, needed for an academic appointment is top-heavy in the associate ranks. Competition for the recruits who can fill positions is in high demand, and in reality, it seems as though many departments are actively sourcing to find those who would best fit their work. Some colleges have addressed these demands by creating more full-time non-tenure-track positions to address financial challenges and curricular needs. They have frequently been asked to discuss, critique, and defend these positions against claims of poor working conditions. Because these full-time positions typically have a teaching load that reduces the faculty members' ability to foster senior capstones, the overload is lessened. As a longer-term solution, some departments may wish to increase the selection for senior capstones by dividing the economic cost among the department, the college, and centrally into the unit in the form of releases granted to tenured and tenure-track faculty. The specifics of these arrangements can be developed locally but are ultimately financial decisions that must balance agency-level and college-level budgets [33, 34].

### **3.8. Curriculum Development and Adaptation**

It is widely agreed upon in higher education that a major part of any educational system is to decide what to teach, which results in the curriculum. In some instances, the curriculum development process is shared by several organizations and involves collaboration at the national level in order to ensure quality standards and the relevance of the study. There are many challenges in any educational system, and one of the challenges, especially in higher education and in technical and specialized disciplines, is to ensure that the study offered by these institutions is relevant to the market and society. The desired level of this relevance goes beyond discussing and agreeing on the study plan in advisory boards or among departmental faculties only, but includes students, employers, and the whole industry as partners, where their feedback is taken seriously while developing the curriculum. The same is true for internal reviews, taking feedback from previous alumni, and considering the trend of the induction of new technologies as needs at regional, national, or global levels as part of this continuous process [35]. An effective approach, which is a best practice, is to include interdisciplinary, multidisciplinary, and transdisciplinary elements into the academic programs, overcoming departmentalization. The integration of these multiple areas must satisfy academic and industrial needs. Experiential learning, such as internships, is also a best practice while developing Bachelor and Master degree programs. The curriculum development process should explicitly include measures to support and ensure full access for all individuals, and this can be done by ensuring that the curriculum is accessible to and usable by students with a variety of abilities, prior exposure to computing, and different learning preferences. Technological and informational tools, as well as new learning environments that technology has

brought along, have also changed the traditional view of curriculum development. Creating an online curriculum may either replace or blend with the traditional face-to-face development. Furthermore, the online curriculum development should be designed using some guiding principles. These guiding principles represent the curriculum quality requirements or best practices to guarantee that the quality of the curriculum remains the same. No matter how well written a curriculum is, it is bound to be replaced through regular evaluation and revision, and furthermore at appropriate and identified phases. Hence, the developed curriculum always needs to be tested, evaluated, and revised to ensure educational values, local market needs, labor market needs at the international level, and cultural significance through social consciousness. When evaluated on a regular basis, and the findings are taken very seriously, all the effort in developing the curriculum is rewarded by fulfilling these needs through creating insightful minds. This criterion, thus, results in graduates' success in the workplace. Additionally, stabilizing overlapping curriculums or needs results in improving the curriculum and standardizing the outcomes. Hence, it will be recognized in the wider horizon of the academic community as well, as it reflects the rich diversities that the knowledge assets create a brilliant market for any nation or organization [36-38].

### **3.9. Quality Assurance and Accreditation**

Quality assurance and accreditation are processes that are attached ever more importance within higher education. The significance of certain institutional practices and processes receiving a quality mark from an external body is not to be underestimated. Practically, one of the roles of these educational standard-setting bodies is to establish what faculty certainty means, and education quality, accountability, and continuous improvement are at the root of many of the processes undertaken by bodies of this sort. Within the UK, further education institutions now all seek the indefinite award of at least an associate level of the recently rolled-out regular review of practice, scheduled, robust, and demanding quality management [39].

However, the increasing interest and importance associated with quality assurance and accreditation in higher education can be seen to face a number of obstacles. Not least of these is the development of highly prescribed sets of standards possibly preventing differentiation between key or less significant matters. Several commentators have suggested that these tenets may prevent higher education institutions from making full responses to their current environments and anticipating better the future journeys of transnational higher education. A key result of the progression of such standards is that rather than providing evidence of a truly excellent educational experience, awards will increasingly demonstrate that universities are satisfying the performance indicators essential to maintaining their own place in league rankings. It is suggested that the strictures resulting from the progression of such processes are, for example, forcing universities to become ever more risk averse in their pedagogical practices. In summary, there is some debate as to whether these QA and accreditation processes, in practice, make a great difference to the higher education learning and teaching operations they evaluate [40].

### **3.10. Partnerships and Collaborations**

The role of universities is increasingly viewed in light of their ability to partner and collaborate with other agencies and organizations. These alliances enhance impact, credibility, and reach while offering opportunities for further research and innovation. Partners are often industry, government, and community bodies. Partnerships are facilitated or strengthened by funding bodies with dedicated programs for such initiatives. Providing training in the ideas and techniques of the research community at large has been in particular demand and is a further way of extending or increasing the relevance of research undertaken. Importantly, the feedback provided by a partnership approach may lead the researcher to refine or reorient the design of the overall research agenda. Just how successful a researcher can be in becoming involved in strategic alliances will hinge on the design and leadership of the relevant approach. In particular, different contexts suggest a variety of organizational structures and

research deliverables, and some other problems are identified that scholars are most likely to encounter [41].

Partnerships and collaborations are not new but have likely assumed increased importance in the current climate. Industry, government, and community are all potential collaborations. Benefits of a partnership approach include the ability to pursue larger-scale initiatives, gain expertise, input, ownership, legitimacy, endorsement, impact, relevance, publicity, training, and technology transfer. Challenges for researchers include different agendas, priorities, organizational culture and language, protocols, reporting and accountability, objectives and outcomes, timelines, intellectual property, attitude, and working hours. There may also be a lack of personnel to manage the task. Research requires trust. International partnerships serve as an external indicator of quality, power in the marketplace, and work towards shared improvement in quality in teaching and learning. Problems with this approach are discussed later. Strategies for this type of partnership include establishing a collaborative culture and creating opportunities to promote interactions. Leaders should ensure all students maintain some sort of relationship with business [42].

### **3.11. Community Engagement and Outreach**

Community engagement and outreach form an important corollary to the modern university. This reciprocal partnership offers benefits both to the institution and to the community under discussion. It may encompass anything from research and service functions to shared cultural activities and neighborhood revitalization. Universities typically serve a diverse community: they can actively strive to secure the broad community that surrounds them, and can also attempt to build relationships across the host nation and other global institutions. As institutions may find it difficult to work with such diversity, academics and their institutions typically take the opportunity to work with smaller clusters of individuals who form a more recognizable target group. Demonstrating the university's community commitment is also seen as a possible feature of official rankings [43].

One of the prime criticisms of the university outreach experience is that it tends to attract a well-resourced, well-motivated, and well-socialized group of individuals who tend to dominate the feel of the event. In other words, it remains difficult to market to various constituencies more actively, and to ensure that much more receptive individuals at the bottom of the pyramid attend free outreach events. To prevail in marketing to a wide group of individuals, institutional healthcare marketers typically need the cooperation of a community reference point, ideally an influential local information center. If institutional outreach is biased in terms of appeal, then one common solution is to house information centers in the wider environment; for the widest appeal, slightly altering the character of the information and its focus to concurrently incorporate community wellness or educational concerns and global healthcare scalability may also be called for. Cooperation with local libraries, town halls, or businesses, especially those that share broader community values, might also allow the university to appeal to a wider cross-section of society who are interested in learning about opportunities in academic medicine [44].

### **3.12. Sustainability and Environmental Responsibility**

Higher education's adoption and advancement of sustainability initiatives is of such pressing significance as to warrant its own subset within the broader phenomenon of external and internal obstacles faced by academic institutions in industrial societies. There are a number of compelling reasons why investment in sustainability, especially with respect to post-secondary settings, is critically important. The environmental challenges presented by climate change and the degradation of ecosystems are today more plausibly posed than ever, while the community-wide and local implications of these challenges for the primary goals of education, that is, indeed for human habitation, are urgent. The degree to which extant energy and water supplies contribute to campus climate ought to suggest some clear directions for action [45].

Sustainability represents a major cultural shift that cannot simply be implemented. Funding and institutional resistance are, to be sure, formidable barriers. Resistance to going green can derive from the skepticism that meeting even the most modest targets for reducing energy consumption is feasible under the constraints of public education, or it can have a more nuanced origin such as the fear of negative feedback from politically conservative quarters. However, the move towards greener colleges and universities has to be more than just window dressing. With systemic change necessary, reorienting educational as well as operational practice should be a priority. Taking the long-term ecological health of the college into consideration also demands a greener curriculum and an emphasis on sustainability in research. There is some evidence that younger faculty currently working in less green institutions disagree with their institutions' environmental policies and place a strong emphasis on the establishment of sustainability programs at their place of work. The influence of environmental aspects of the job on attracting talented faculty in general is unknown. Often, architectural sustainable features are included, where they exist, in marketing and student recruitment activities; they are labels rather than goals. A socially critical thinker might argue that to claim to be green and to educate for sustainability and an excellent degree places moral pressure on a college to walk the talk within its capacity to do so. The least a college or university could aim for would at least be to foster a culture of care for its own place, a local or regional environmental stewardship, which would also be an appropriate goal of higher education in the context of the education of local citizens that is a characteristic trait of liberal arts institutions [46-48].

### **3.13. Governance and Leadership in Academic Institutions**

One of the challenges academic institutions face is good governance and strong leadership. Governance models and their implementation have implications for the speed and quality of decisions made and how accountable institutions are to stakeholders. Good governance also needs to be inclusive and diverse, welcoming a range of voices and prioritizing the public good rather than privatization or commercialization of higher education. The paths forward require leaders to be adaptable and to guide institutions towards or away from the challenges presented. Managing these challenges and transparency while uniting a community and fostering academic culture are some of the major challenges institutional leaders face. The successful development of strategy is based on understanding the selection process, accountability, and engagement of stakeholders and how these processes are informed and developed. Effective governance also must include meaningful involvement to create alignment between activities and the mission, vision, and strategic priorities of the institution [49].

### **3.14. Policy and Regulatory Challenges**

A wide range of policies and regulatory frameworks have an effect on institutions of higher learning, including labor policy and regulations governing employment relationships, taxation practices, zoning and local land use regulations, environmental protection policy, intellectual property rights, and oversight of scientific research. Most federally funded research universities have government regulations that impact them. These institutional leaders must balance the needs of protecting the broader institution with the speed of conducting academic research. In the United States, regulation is also effectively used as a tool to control or limit public investment in higher education. Recent developments at international and national law levels demonstrate the changing policy landscape. Regulatory requirements trigger processes that may affect the strategic agenda of the institution. At the same time, national regulatory reforms may reframe the relationship between the state and institutions; if external accountability is central, this may have implications for academic freedom. Finally, the pressure created by new regulatory requirements will push towards diversification. The diversification of players in higher education may show a gradual process of adaptation. Universities need to track state and federal education-related policies that could affect their role, tuition policies, state aid levels, legislation affecting nontraditional education, financial aid policies, student loan default rates, veterans regulations, and K-12 reform. Universities often react to changes in these laws after they have occurred

rather than shaping the future environment. Universities can play a role by gathering evidence on the potential impact of changes in educational policy. As governmental policies increasingly are developed merely at the framework level, and institutions retain growing autonomy, leadership has to be prepared to deal with shifting patterns of regulation. Institutions need to be prepared to comply with rapidly changing regulations. Policymakers at all levels must continue engaging all stakeholders in a proactive manner to promote a sound and responsive policy framework. This must include consideration of the interests of institutions, students, the business community, other governments, and the public. Policies - Policy matters that limited the flexibility and effectiveness of a major sector of the adult and continuing higher education market are not easily reconciled with governmental proclamations of a need for more such institutions. - Policymakers in Switzerland and in the United States have created semi-private arrangements on a contractual basis in order to override public bureaucracy limitations. - The experience in the Nottingham agreement is another example of an imaginative and unhackneyed use of policy means by the state to further the definite long-run purpose of better economic performance. - In the real world, and by intrinsic definition of policy, one of its essential attributes is that it reflects the past. To accept policies is tantamount to sticking one's head in the sand in the hope that the future will be quietly suffocated by the present. - Policy is a statement of expectations [50, 51].

### **3.15. The Role of Universities in Economic Development**

Universities have a key role to play in regional economic development. Broadly speaking, academic institutions help to drive local economies forward by providing a sustainable pipeline of new knowledge, technologies, and innovative people, which can help existing local businesses to grow and expand, creating spin-off companies and providing new graduates who can drive forward new business start-ups and, more generally, create an attractive environment for firms to set up in or to relocate to. Universities also make other contributions that can have a direct or indirect impact on the economies of particular regions. In particular, they can contribute to boosting entrepreneurial activity within faculties, commercializing research, workforce development, corporate partnerships, or social and cultural contributions. The literature suggests that there are many examples of effective interactions between universities and businesses in the commercialization of research, leading to high-tech job creation and the unlocking of significant development funds. More generally, these initiatives often also contribute to creating a more fertile environment for entrepreneurship in and around academic institutions. Despite these successful initiatives, there are a number of obstacles that can deter academics from setting up and running spin-offs, and that can make the creation and commercial exploitation of such ventures difficult. Efforts to align academic processes and policies with the requirements of commercial investors and firms may help to facilitate the development of spin-offs and help university research to have more potential in creating local economic development [52, 53].

### **3.16. Innovative Teaching and Learning Methods**

There is an expanding toolbox of innovative teaching and learning methods that are supported by evidence to enhance educational effectiveness. Current technological advances and 'flipped classroom' initiatives have furthered this trend, proposing that curricular approaches should evolve in line with the needs of today's learners. A move away from the traditional lecture format towards increased interactivity and engagement demonstrates a clear shift in pedagogical viewpoints. The growing trend towards active learning is underscored by educational research that has emphasized the importance of activities such as peer-to-peer learning. Importantly, students under such systems appreciate the improvement in their ability to assess their own progress and learning. Fundamentally, students may more readily be able to move from learning in a formal setting to making use of their knowledge in practice. The exciting possibilities enabled by such approaches demonstrate the potential of critically considering and rethinking how educational methodologies may be adapted in light of a dynamic and increasingly diverse student population [54].

On a faculty level, training and institutional support are recognized as key for ongoing success to ensure that innovative learning strategies are maintained and developed. Demonstrable outcomes from existing strategies, including increased student engagement, willingness to be involved in the classroom, and improvements in student performance, are important in justifying additional resources. Existing case studies of innovations in teaching across academic institutions demonstrate that students value such teaching methods, particularly where assessments are 'student-centric' and they understand their value. Effective and fair assessment rubrics, therefore, continue to play a crucial role in effective teaching. It is likely that a perceived extension of expectations to encompass innovative teaching and active learning may therefore result in resentment from faculty who are not equipped to deliver these methods, in the context of already high teaching workloads. As such, any change will likely rely on implementing comprehensive faculty development and teacher training for those unfamiliar with such an approach [55].

At an institutional level, the priority for innovative practices in assessment is further indicated by the role that exams and coursework play in the National Student Survey and other methods of feedback and ranking for academic institutions. Ultimately, for innovative teaching practices to succeed as a collective, a shift in teaching culture is required. Young academic staff in particular perceive a lack of incentives for innovation in teaching and note that educational innovation is seen in many cases as a personal pursuit. Overall, experiences from across the academic community describe the development of a supportive institutional culture that provides resources and recognition for innovative teaching and assessment strategies. The development of supportive evaluation processes can also help to demonstrate the value of innovative teaching practices within and across institutions while providing basic data for further improvements. In conclusion, it is imperative that institutions acknowledge that innovation in teaching and learning is an investment in their most central mission and must be supported as such [56].

### **3.17. Professional Development and Lifelong Learning**

Ensuring that academic institutions have access to individuals who can adapt to change while practicing cutting-edge pedagogy is crucial for societal advancement. But academic institutions mirror the broader challenges. How does one keep up when the educational landscape is changing at an exponential rate? For educators interested in living out their commitment to lifelong learning, a growing number of opportunities exist: workshops and conferences; scholarship of teaching and learning projects and journals that many institutions house; discipline-specific publications on teaching in every field; changes in personnel; technologies; and collaborative projects between institutions to compare notes, to name just a few [57].

As many scholars in the field of pedagogy and andragogy note, the more one can understand and engage with techniques to embody lifelong learning, the better they can express that to students. What's more, data on student success make it clear that every dollar spent promoting continuous education for our faculty and staff supports institutional success as well. It also fosters interconnectedness between those who hold differing positions on the organizational chart as we work to share best practices and learn from one another. The traditional routes for accomplishing personal professional development are alive and well. In addition, a potential opportunity to develop stackable certifications might provide... at the end of the day, the only real necessary ingredient for professional development is the willingness of the learner to commit to the task at hand.

During their time at the college or university, and throughout the rest of their career, what is crucial is that the student/practitioner develops the value of continuous learning. Whether that takes place by attending college via live interactive technology, by pursuing traditional education, or even engaging in experiential learning, certifications, and industry-based training, the critical goal is that the approach to learning values the resiliency and the growth mindset that comes from speaking to lifelong learning. It is crucial at all education levels that students can build and demonstrate critical thinking, an adaptable

skill set, and empathy for others, which can then be adapted to fit specific job roles and content. It is similarly crucial that stakeholders understand this priority [58].

### **3.18. Ethical Issues in Research and Academic**

Research is usually conducted following ethical principles. Integrity, transparency, responsibility, and accountability are scientific values that form a normative backbone of scholarly work. As scholars, we should do our best to demonstrate these values through our scientific outputs. There are multiple ethical challenges in the field of research and academic practices. A prominent and widely discussed challenge is to make an accurate and transparent theoretical and methodological description in research publications. In addition, researchers might face issues when evaluating the evidence that they have used for argumentation [59].

Some commonly discussed ethical dilemmas in research include: plagiarism; data fabrication and manipulation; authorship disputes; conflicts of interest; lack of ethical standards; and other ethical challenges such as bias in research; contribution and copyright; good questions and how to address them in research, etc. It is difficult to consistently control and, thus, prevent each one of these ethical challenges. A research establishment such as a university, therefore, needs to build guidelines for judicious ethical research through rules, norms, principles, etc., and train researchers in order to conceptualize and operationalize these guidelines. This changes an ethical issue from a matter of 'this-or-that' in policy to a mental attitude of nurturing ethical responsibility. The issue, especially in the rather unburdened space of academic research, then becomes one of cultivating a culture that nurtures ethical responsibility in scholars. Often, education transpires in the silent curriculum of a university where students and junior scholars learn by teaching, interception, and omissions of the old-timers of academia [60].

Reasons explaining why scholars should care about ethics in academic research and publication include potential harmful impacts imposed on human subjects when dealing with experimental or other studies, collecting statistics, health or psychological measures, and research design, with sensitive data or sensible matters. Additionally, respecting academic ethical rules is vital because trust is a crucial commodity in science. No agency, foundation, or government will easily trust an institution, a school, or an academic unit if it allows or perpetrates unethical behavior within its walls. Ethical behavior is also a milestone of excellence beyond mere complacency with the law. If professional scientists did not care about ethical standards, then soon a twilight zone of sham and perfunctory scholarship is reached, no advanced knowledge is secured, and the credibility of research groups or universities is damaged. In the end, the scholarly profit does not match the administrative or custodial risks of pariah status in the world of science. Even at a national level, no society may thrive and succeed in this fiercely global research life if international academic and political mistrust is inter-societal public consumption. Ethical lapses elicit governmental condemnations, leading to isolation and marginalization from the global pool of resources, know-how, and higher education itself. Overall, in scholarly circles, every scientist or research community has a duty to preserve research in a socio-ethical setting. Without fairness, trustworthiness, and integrity in scholarly research, the rich tapestry and diverse colors of research communities will fray into a delinquent mess. Ethical frameworks should be considered essential bricks in the research infrastructure, not a mere appendix of science culture. Overall, it is desirable that national research agendas lay down a fence around the scholarly compound for the nurturance of scholarly research [61, 62].

### **4. The Future of Higher Education: Trends and Predictions**

In the years to come, higher education institutions will need to adapt to changing demands and expectations. This text assesses nine trends and predictions shaping the future of higher education. While most trends are global, European aspects and implications are highlighted. Technological advances and their rapid spread are set to affect higher education in different ways. Further, demographic changes will not only lead to growing student populations but will test relationships

between growing demand, quality, and accessibility. Economic changes will affect the organization and funding of higher education and impinge on the remit of universities as research institutions with responsibilities for public enlightenment and participation in public debate.

There are no simple answers to challenges arising from social, economic, and technological change. Hopefully, this study of emerging changes and consensus will assist with future-oriented thinking about higher education and to take greater care when planning and reacting to the future. How people and institutions respond is a reflection of character, and it is a testament of those qualities and values we most cherish: hope, courage, and spirit. The futures of all higher education institutions will be shaped by their ability to adapt to some important trends: advancing and diffusing technologies; growing college entrance rates; knowledge creation; knowledge utilization; economic change. The development also lays stress on the importance of students' responsibilities and enhancing students' capabilities. Whether institutions are considered successful or not will also be in terms of external criteria such as higher education's contribution to a growing economy, tackling unemployment, levels of knowledge capital in the communities and regions, widening participation, and attention.

## 5. Conclusion and Recommendations

This article identifies a number of important issues and offers a series of insights, arguments, and recommendations. In our view, it is important that these be brought together in a brief conclusion, which should also suggest where to go from here. While attitudes of stakeholders towards some of the structural weaknesses and challenges both raised and implied in this paper are difficult to predict, research and policy analysis can cast some light on the broad directions of strategic action and policy reform likely to enhance short-term and long-term institutional adaptability and greater resilience in global and local markets for higher education today.

We have already argued that academic organizations could contribute strategically to broader futures studies, as these unfold in decades and centuries. The pending solutions to present and short-term adaptation of academic institutions to unprecedented global fluidities might include a range of imperatives to monitor, anticipate, and enhance the traffic of people and environmental services—students, 'brain circulation,' and knowledge workers—in an institution-to-institution business in which 'educational' services play a principal role. Indicated below are a sample of sorts of improvements in an accelerating meta-policy framework.

One could usefully speculate about the roles of equitable and sustainable relationships between the following principal education mega-stakeholders: government, industry, families, academic employees, and students, as well as universities, colleges, schools, enterprises, and others who wish for any variety of reasons to be seen as an alternative to the conventional university. Of all the providers, the university should be at the head of the group finding—and elucidating—answers to the community's current and future provision of proactive responses. Since ancient times, academic institutions have openly provided a forum for conversations on an array of subjects and social issues. In thinking about these topics, a helpful exercise would be to review both the explicit and hidden curriculum, as well as staff and student research.

## References

- [1] Compagnucci, L. and Spigarelli, F., 2020. The Third Mission of the university: A systematic literature review on potentials and constraints. *Technological Forecasting and Social Change*, 161, p.120284. [sciencedirect.com](https://www.sciencedirect.com)
- [2] Erlangga, D.T., 2022. Student problems in online learning: solutions to keep education going on. *Journal of English Language Teaching and Learning*, 3(1), pp.21-26. [teknokrat.ac.id](https://teknokrat.ac.id)
- [3] Ruben, B. D., De Lisi, R., & Gigliotti, R. A., 2023. A guide for leaders in higher education: Concepts, competencies, and tools. [amazonaws.com](https://amazonaws.com)

- [4] Turnbull, D., Chugh, R., & Luck, J., 2021. Transitioning to E-Learning during the COVID-19 pandemic: How have Higher Education Institutions responded to the challenge?. *Education and Information Technologies*. [springer.com](https://www.springer.com)
- [5] D. A. Nolte, "Higher education system and institutional management trends and challenges," 2004. [\[PDF\]](#)
- [6] S. Quinsee, "How can we create the university of the future?," 2011. [\[PDF\]](#)
- [7] K. Olu. Ijaduola and K. Oluwafemi Odunaike, "Management and Leadership in The Contemporary University: Strategies For A Rescue Operation.," 2012. [\[PDF\]](#)
- [8] J. C. Corbo, D. L. Reinholz, M. H. Dancy, S. Deetz et al., "A Framework for Transforming Departmental Culture to Support Educational Innovation," 2014. [\[PDF\]](#)
- [9] T. L.A. Cunningham, "Increasing Success: Strategies to Address Factors Affecting Student Retention from First to Second Year at a Canadian, Undergraduate, Liberal Arts University," 2017. [\[PDF\]](#)
- [10] G. Lawrie, E. Marquis, E. Fuller, T. Newman et al., "Moving towards inclusive learning and teaching: A synthesis of recent literature," 2017. [\[PDF\]](#)
- [11] S. Evans, "Leadership in Higher Education in a Culture of Declining Relevance and Incivility," 2018. [\[PDF\]](#)
- [12] W. R Long, A. Williams, L. Barnes, and M. T Northcote, "The Disruptive Changing Accounting University Environment: How Accounting Academics Have Been Gazumped," 2018. [\[PDF\]](#)
- [13] Bartusevičienė, A. Pazaver, and M. Kitada, "Building a resilient university: ensuring academic continuity—transition from face-to-face to online in the COVID-19 pandemic," 2021. [ncbi.nlm.nih.gov](https://ncbi.nlm.nih.gov)
- [14] O. Toyin Ojo, M. Dorasamy, M. W. Migin, J. Jayabalan et al., "Academic entrepreneurial engagement for frugal innovation in higher education institutions: a systematic literature review," 2023. [ncbi.nlm.nih.gov](https://ncbi.nlm.nih.gov)
- [15] Busch, L., 2023. Knowledge for sale: The neoliberal takeover of higher education. [\[HTML\]](#)
- [16] Cole, R. M. & Heinecke, W. F., 2020. Higher education after neoliberalism: Student activism as a guiding light. *Policy Futures in Education*. [sagepub.com](https://www.sagepub.com)
- [17] Davis-Kean, P.E., Tighe, L.A. and Waters, N.E., 2021. The role of parent educational attainment in parenting and children's development. *Current Directions in Psychological Science*, 30(2), pp.186-192. [osf.io](https://osf.io)
- [18] Tavares, O., Aguiar, J., & Sin, C., 2024. Off the beaten track: Portugal's strategies for attracting international students. *Policy Futures in Education*. [sagepub.com](https://www.sagepub.com)
- [19] Ortagus, J.C., Kelchen, R., Rosinger, K. and Voorhees, N., 2020. Performance-based funding in American higher education: A systematic synthesis of the intended and unintended consequences. *Educational Evaluation and Policy Analysis*, 42(4), pp.520-550. [\[HTML\]](#)
- [20] McPherson, M. & Schapiro, M., 2021. The student aid game: Meeting need and rewarding talent in American higher education. [ed.gov](https://ed.gov)
- [21] Treve, M., 2021. What COVID-19 has introduced into education: Challenges facing higher education institutions (HEIs). *Higher Education Pedagogies*. [tandfonline.com](https://tandfonline.com)
- [22] Boeing, P., Eberle, J. and Howell, A., 2022. The impact of China's R&D subsidies on R&D investment, technological upgrading and economic growth. *Technological Forecasting and Social Change*, 174, p.121212. [sciencedirect.com](https://www.sciencedirect.com)
- [23] Le, T., Pham, H., Mai, S., & Vu, N., 2022. Frontier academic research, industrial R&D and technological progress: The case of OECD countries. *Technovation*. [whiterose.ac.uk](https://www.whiterose.ac.uk)

- [24] Mohamed Hashim, M.A., Tlemsani, I. and Matthews, R., 2022. Higher education strategy in digital transformation. *Education and Information Technologies*, 27(3), pp.3171-3195. [springer.com](https://www.springer.com)
- [25] Abad-Segura, E., González-Zamar, M. D., & Infante-Moro..., J. C., 2020. Sustainable management of digital transformation in higher education: Global research trends. *Sustainability*. [mdpi.com](https://www.mdpi.com)
- [26] Teräs, M., Suoranta, J., Teräs, H. and Curcher, M., 2020. Post-Covid-19 education and education technology 'solutionism': A seller's market. *Postdigital Science and Education*, 2(3), pp.863-878. [springer.com](https://www.springer.com)
- [27] Benavides, L.M.C., Tamayo Arias, J.A., Arango Serna, M.D., Branch Bedoya, J.W. and Burgos, D., 2020. Digital transformation in higher education institutions: A systematic literature review. *Sensors*, 20(11), p.3291. [mdpi.com](https://www.mdpi.com)
- [28] Mitra, M. & Dopson, S., 2024. Breaking barriers: EDI in academic career progression at the University of Oxford. [ox.ac.uk](https://www.ox.ac.uk)
- [29] Steele, S., 2021. The recoloring of campus life: Student racism, academic pluralism, and the end of a dream. *Campus Wars*. [\[HTML\]](#)
- [30] Landsman, J. & Lewis, C. W., 2023. White teachers/diverse classrooms: Creating inclusive schools, building on students' diversity, and providing true educational equity. [\[HTML\]](#)
- [31] Ma, Z., Zhao, J., Li, Y., Chen, D., Wang, T., Zhang, Z., Chen, Z., Yu, Q., Jiang, J., Fan, F. and Liu, X., 2020. Mental health problems and correlates among 746 217 college students during the coronavirus disease 2019 outbreak in China. *Epidemiology and psychiatric sciences*, 29, p.e181. [cambridge.org](https://www.cambridge.org)
- [32] Rodríguez-Rivas, M.E., Cangas, A.J. and Fuentes-Olavarría, D., 2021. Controlled study of the impact of a virtual program to reduce stigma among university students toward people with mental disorders. *Frontiers in psychiatry*, 12, p.632252. [frontiersin.org](https://www.frontiersin.org)
- [33] Roos, B. H. & Borkoski, C. C., 2021. Attending to the teacher in the teaching: Prioritizing faculty well-being. *Perspectives of the ASHA Special Interest Groups*. [academia.edu](https://www.academia.edu)
- [34] Amitai, A. & Van Houtte, M., 2022. Being pushed out of the career: Former teachers' reasons for leaving the profession. *Teaching and Teacher Education*. [\[HTML\]](#)
- [35] Žalėnienė, I. & Pereira, P., 2021. Higher education for sustainability: A global perspective. *Geography and Sustainability*. [sciencedirect.com](https://www.sciencedirect.com)
- [36] Rocha, P. L. B., Pardini, R., Viana, B. F., & El-Hani, C. N., 2020. Fostering inter-and transdisciplinarity in discipline-oriented universities to improve sustainability science and practice. *Sustainability Science*. [academia.edu](https://www.academia.edu)
- [37] Myronova, O. M. & Barkova, K. O., 2022. Management: syllabus of the academic discipline for students of specialty 075 Marketing of the educational program Marketing of the first (bachelor's) level. [hneu.edu.ua](https://www.hneu.edu.ua)
- [38] Vienni Baptista, B. & Rojas-Castro, S., 2020. Transdisciplinary institutionalization in higher education: a two-level analysis. *Studies in Higher Education*. [academia.edu](https://www.academia.edu)
- [39] Kumar, P., Shukla, B. and Passey, D., 2020. Impact of accreditation on quality and excellence of higher education institutions. *Investigación Operacional*, 41(2), pp.151-167. [pantheonsofbonne.fr](https://www.pantheonsofbonne.fr)
- [40] Aburizaizah, S. J., 2022. The role of quality assurance in Saudi higher education institutions. *International Journal of Educational Research Open*. [sciencedirect.com](https://www.sciencedirect.com)

- [41] Rossoni, A.L., de Vasconcellos, E.P.G. and de Castilho Rossoni, R.L., 2024. Barriers and facilitators of university-industry collaboration for research, development and innovation: a systematic review. *Management Review Quarterly*, 74(3), pp.1841-1877. [springer.com](https://www.springer.com)
- [42] Isensee, C., Teuteberg, F., Griese, K. M., & Topi, C., 2020. The relationship between organizational culture, sustainability, and digitalization in SMEs: A systematic review. *Journal of Cleaner Production*. [\[HTML\]](#)
- [43] Fitzgerald, H.E., Karen, B., Sonka, S.T., Furco, A. and Swanson, L., 2020. The centrality of engagement in higher education. In *Building the field of higher education engagement* (pp. 201-219). Routledge. [uga.edu](https://www.uga.edu)
- [44] Gadjanova, E., 2021. Status-quo or grievance coalitions: The logic of cross-ethnic campaign appeals in Africa's highly diverse states. *Comparative Political Studies*. [sagepub.com](https://www.sagepub.com)
- [45] Leal Filho, W., Eustachio, J.H.P.P., Caldana, A.C.F., Will, M., Lange Salvia, A., Rampasso, I.S., Anholon, R., Platje, J. and Kovaleva, M., 2020. Sustainability leadership in higher education institutions: An overview of challenges. *Sustainability*, 12(9), p.3761. [mdpi.com](https://www.mdpi.com)
- [46] Rosenberg, B., 2023. "Whatever It Is, I'm Against It": Resistance to Change in Higher Education. [\[HTML\]](#)
- [47] YAWAS, D. S., Dan-asabe, B., & Alabi, A., . Analyzing Barriers to Sustainability: A Case Study of Failed Green Building Projects in Nigeria. *papers.ssrn.com*. [\[HTML\]](#)
- [48] McCowan, T., Omingo, M., Schendel, R., Adu-Yeboah, C. and Tabulawa, R., 2022. Enablers of pedagogical change within universities: Evidence from Kenya, Ghana and Botswana. *International Journal of Educational Development*, 90, p.102558. [sciencedirect.com](https://www.sciencedirect.com)
- [49] Barry, D. & Goedegebuure, L., 2020. Good governance and higher education. ... of higher education systems and institutions. [\[HTML\]](#)
- [50] Aragón-Correa, J.A., Marcus, A.A. and Vogel, D., 2020. The effects of mandatory and voluntary regulatory pressures on firms' environmental strategies: A review and recommendations for future research. *Academy of Management Annals*, 14(1), pp.339-365. [ugr.es](https://www.ugr.es)
- [51] Park, H. and Kim, J.D., 2020. Transition towards green banking: role of financial regulators and financial institutions. *Asian Journal of Sustainability and Social Responsibility*, 5(1), pp.1-25. [springer.com](https://www.springer.com)
- [52] Thomas, E., Faccin, K., & Asheim, B. T., 2021. Universities as orchestrators of the development of regional innovation ecosystems in emerging economies. *Growth and change*. [wiley.com](https://www.wiley.com)
- [53] Wagner, M., Schaltegger, S., Hansen, E.G. and Fichter, K., 2021. University-linked programmes for sustainable entrepreneurship and regional development: how and with what impact?. *Small Business Economics*, 56, pp.1141-1158. [springer.com](https://www.springer.com)
- [54] Ito, H. & Takeuchi, S., 2022. The demise of active learning even before its implementation? Instructors' understandings and application of this approach within Japanese higher education. *Education Inquiry*. [tandfonline.com](https://www.tandfonline.com)
- [55] Ifenthaler, D. and Yau, J.Y.K., 2020. Utilising learning analytics to support study success in higher education: a systematic review. *Educational Technology Research and Development*, 68(4), pp.1961-1990. [springer.com](https://www.springer.com)
- [56] Blackmore, J., Hobbs, L., & Rowlands, J., 2024. Aspiring teachers, financial incentives, and principals' recruitment practices in hard-to-staff schools. *Journal of Education Policy*. [tandfonline.com](https://www.tandfonline.com)
- [57] Mei, W. & Symaco, L., 2022. University-wide entrepreneurship education in China's higher education institutions: Issues and challenges. *Studies in Higher Education*. [\[HTML\]](#)

- [58] Grigoropoulos, J. E., 2020. How Can Manifesting Leadership Skills Infused with Ethos, Empathy, and Compassion Better Prepare Students to Assume Leadership Roles?.. International Journal of Progressive Education. [ed.gov](http://ed.gov)
- [59] Schweinsberg, M., Thau, S. and Pillutla, M., 2023. Problem Validity in Primary Research: Precision and Transparency in Characterizing Past Knowledge. Perspectives on Psychological Science, 18(5), pp.1230-1243. [sagepub.com](http://sagepub.com)
- [60] Sivasubramaniam, S., Dlabolová, D.H., Kralikova, V. and Khan, Z.R., 2021. Assisting you to advance with ethics in research: an introduction to ethical governance and application procedures. International Journal for Educational Integrity, 17, pp.1-18. [springer.com](http://springer.com)
- [61] Bhuyan, N. & Chakraborty, A., 2024. The Problem of Efficiency: Redefining the Relation Between Success & Excellence in Business Ethics. Philosophy of Management. [\[HTML\]](#)
- [62] Arbogast, S. V., 2022. Resisting Corporate Corruption: Practical Cases in Business Ethics from Enron Through SPACs. [\[HTML\]](#)